

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/518,155	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VASSEN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> AARON S. AUSTIN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1794

  

**All Participants:**

(1) AARON S. AUSTIN.

(2) Andrew Wilford.

**Date of Interview:** 16 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:00 and 3:45

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

*All*

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/John J. Zimmerman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview was in response to a call from the Examiner on 8/25/09 directed toward defining the claimed thermally stressed component and clarifying issues under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. More particularly, the present interview provided authorization from the Applicant to amend the claims to 1) correct the claimed formula to reflect that provided for by the specification, 2) clarify the language of claims 13 and 14 to reflect that provided by the specification, 3) define the coating as one for turbine components to better define the invention over prior art, and 4) correct for issues under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.